(*b*) The village was divided into two blocks about the year 1881 under orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) It was done after the Sub-Division Officer held an enquiry into the claims of different *hakdars* to the vacant Shanbhogi office.

(*e*) The present arrangement is in force in pursuance of the order passed by the Sub-Division Officer.

(*f*) The Sub-Division Officer who passed the order considered that the work of both the blocks can be managed by one man only without detriment to efficiency.

(*g*) No.

(*h*) No. The aggrieved party should have appealed against the order of the Sub-Division Officer in time.

(*i*) No such promise can be made at present.

(*j*) Survey numbers 1 to 342 belong to Block I and Survey numbers 343 to 510 to Block II. There is only one *akarband* and one final *patrika*. Two *khetwars* have been maintained but *potgi* to Shanbhogue is paid on the whole *jamabandi*.

MR. S. M. SIVALINGAPPA (Chiknayakanhalli Taluk).—

Q.—22. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The number and names of muzrai temples in the State (villagewar)?

(*b*) The names of temples classed by Government as ancient monuments and protected?

(*c*) The names of temples receiving grant from muzrai funds for *deeparadhane*, *paditara* and pay and the amount so paid to each every year?

(*d*) The names of temples the *archaks* of which pay annual reserve fund and the amount so recovered from them every year?

(*e*) The amount of reserve fund so recovered and held in deposit at the credit of each institution together with their names?

(*f*) Are not the Government aware of the fact that many muzrai temples are in a dilapidated condition for want of repairs?

(*g*) If so, which are the temples so repaired till now?

(*h*) When will the Government undertake to repair such of the temples as are in disrepair?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—22. (*a*) (I) Scheduled Institutions (10).—

(i) Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud.
(ii) Sri Narayanaswamy temple at Melkote.
(iii) Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Seringapatam.
(iv) Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy temple at Chamarajanagar.
(v) Sri Subrahmanyaswamy temple and Barahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya.
(vi) Mysore Charities at Tirupathi.
(vii) Kalighat Memorial, Calcutta.
(viii) Mysore State Chattram at Benares.
(ix) The Gumbaz at Seringapatam.
(x) Thulamasa Santharpane at Paschimavahini.

(II) Major Institutions and

(III) Minor Institutions.

A printed list of muzrai institutions is available for perusal in the Secretariat and the Muzrai Commissioner's Office.

(IV) Village Institutions.

These institutions number about 19,610 and it is not possible to furnish the particulars regarding them.

(*b*) The total number of ancient monuments in the State is 193 and out of these, 107 have been declared as protected. Lists of both these classes of institutions are appended to Government Orders Nos. G. 18265-325—G. M. 44-20-9, dated the 31st March 1921, and D. 3043—Edn. 86-25, dated the 12th September 1930, respectively.

(*c*) It is not possible to furnish the information without an elaborate compilation of the material contained in the registers of Ready Money Grants, Malnad Cash Grants and the Quitrent Registers and the several orders passed directing modifications, if any, in them.

(*d*) and (*e*) Information is not available.

(*f*) Yes.

(*g*) It is not possible to give a complete list of all institutions which have been repaired so far, as the number is too large to be enumerated in detail.

(*h*) Government have issued instructions in G. O. No. 1326-9—Muz. 392-26-7, dated the 10th February 1928 (*vide* Appendix V), for ensuring the proper maintenance of muzrai

buildings, and are allotting suitable grants from the State and general muzrai funds to supplement the funds of institutions and public contributions, if any, for maintaining the temples in good repair.

MR. R. PUTTAVEERABHADRAPPA (Madhugiri Taluk).—

Q.—23. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

With reference to Subject No. 6 of the last Budget Session, if any concession has or has not been shown to the raiyat in the matter of the reduction of assessment varying from Rs. 9 to Rs. 12 an acre on wet and garden lands on which areca and cocoanut trees have dried up—

(*a*) Is it right on the part of Government to collect such heavy tax from the raiyats?

(*b*) Are not the Government aware of the difficulties felt by the raiyats of Madhugiri Taluk owning perennial garden lands?

(*c*) Is it not the duty of Government to investigate their difficulties and give them necessary relief?

(*d*) Will it be possible to reduce the high rate of assessment on such perennial garden lands and impose wet assessment thereon at least from the next year?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—23. (*a*) The question whether the tax is heavy or not is a matter of opinion.

(*b*) Yes, regarding cultivation of such lands under *talapariges*.

(*c*) The matter was investigated and necessary orders were issued. (*Vide* Government Order No. R. 402-04--R. M. 6-29-115, dated the 19th July 1930, Appendix VI.)

(*d*) As regards garden lands under *talapariges*, certain concessions have been ordered to be shown in the Government Order referred to above. In regard to the garden lands deriving their supply of water from other sources of irrigation, revision of assessment is only possible after the expiry of the present settlement.

MR. P. NAGARAJIAH (Pavagada Taluk).—

Q.—24. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The total amount collected in the Tumkur District on the occasion of the Silver Jubilee of His Highness the Maharaja?